

**LICHFIELD CITY COUNCIL
PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE**

15-Oct-20

LCC comments for submission to Lichfield District Council

App No.	Details	Site	LCC Recommendation
20/01230/FUH	Two storey extension to side and rear and single storey extension to rear	2 Netherbridge Avenue	<i>The Ward Councillors all recommend refusal for the reasons given by the planning inspectorate when he decided on 28th August (application number 20/00370/FUH).</i>
20/01343/PNH	Prior Notification: Single storey rear extension projecting 5.00m from the rear wall of the original dwelling and reaching a maximum height of 3.60m and eaves height of 2.40m	12 Broadlands Rise	<i>Prior Notification</i>
20/01261/FUH	Erection of ground floor extension and conversion of garage	28 Netherbridge Avenue	<i>No Objections</i>
20/01207/COU	Conversion of former retail unit into hot food takeaway (A5) and associated works	R and J Angling Supplies, 32 Swallow Croft	<i>Objection.</i> 1. Inadequate parking and vehicular access to the site which is not suitable to facilitate the allow safe car parking and leaving to collect takeaways. 2. Site not suitable for a takeaway due to the inevitable cooking smells, regardless of any extraction unit, which itself will cause a constant noise and proximity to residential premises.
20/01288/FUH	Single storey extension to side and rear and installation of new front porch	17 Nether Beacon	<i>No Objections.</i>
20/01051/FUH	Two storey extension to side and rear, loft conversion including 1no rear facing dormer/juliet balcony and 1no side facing rooflight, installation of extended parking area to front of property including extension of existing dropped kerb. Amended plans have been submitted in relation to: Average Daylight Accompanying Letter / CPW Daylight Impact Assessment	46 Christchurch Lane	<i>No Objections</i>
20/00940/ADV	Replacement of 1No. Non-illuminated ATM sign	HSBC Bank, 49 Market Street	<i>No Objections.</i>
20/01079/COU	Retention of independent residential unit.	15 Hawkesmoore Drive	<i>No objection subject to the property not being listed for short term holiday letting.</i>
20/01224/FUH	First floor extension to side	72 Fecknam Way	<i>No Objections.</i>
20/01193/FUH	Erection of ground floor extension to side and rear	11 Winter Close	<i>No objections subject to the extension not contravening the 45 degree rule and there being no objections from neighbours.</i>

**LICHFIELD CITY COUNCIL
PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE**

15-Oct-20

LCC comments for submission to Lichfield District Council

App No.	Details	Site	LCC Recommendation
20/01087/FUL	Variation of Conditions No2 (Approved Plans) and No5 (Landscaping Scheme) of application 19/00593/REMM: Relating to planting of trees and new sewer diversion	Land adj Brownsfield Road, Eastern Avenue	<i>No Objections.</i>
20/01254/COU	Change of use to Class E (Commercial, business and service) and installation of commercial extraction exhaust system to the flat roof area and associated works	Beatbox Studios, Unit 5-7, City Arcade, Bore Street	<i>No Objections, provided that the noise generated by the extraction system and proposed flow direction does not have any material impact on any residential premises nearby.</i>
20/01313/FUH	Erection of single storey rear and side extensions along with loft conversion	34 Brownsfield Road	<i>No Objections</i>
20/01045/FUH	First floor extension to side and single storey extension to rear.	2 Grosvenor Close	<i>No Objections</i>
20/01033/FUH	Single storey extension to rear	40 Shortbutts Lane	<i>No Objections. Request that no more hedgerow is removed .</i>
20/01121/FUL M	Erection of 16 no. new affordable dwellings, with associated car parking, external works and landscaping, together with the stopping up and diversion of existing highway consisting of footpaths within the site and leading to Leyfields, Curborpugh Road and Dimbles Hill	Leyfields Open Space, Leyfields	<i>Objection. We have concerns over inadequate access and safety concerns of the egress from the proposed development. The road at Leyfields is narrow and often has traffic along one side for almost its entirety and the proposed new junction is very close to what is a blind bend on Leyfields. The additional traffic generation from an extra 16 dwellings will only serve to exacerbate this and the pre-existing lack of parking in the local area.</i>
20/00991/FUH	Erection of rear single storey extension	7 Harwood Road	<i>No Objections</i>

**LICHFIELD CITY COUNCIL
PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE**

15-Oct-20

LCC comments for submission to Lichfield District Council

App No.	Details	Site	LCC Recommendation
20/01120/FUL	Provision of 8 no.1 Bed 2 Person affordable apartments, with associated car parking, drainage connections, external works and landscaping	Land At, Netherstowe	Objection: <i>The visual impact of the proposed development will severely restrict the open aspect off Netherstowe Lane towards the Cathedral; the proposal will have a detrimental effect on the character of the immediate neighbourhood and ancient gateway to the City.</i> <i>The proposal encroaches upon designated open space and is therefore inappropriate development, though members strongly support the principle of affordable housing locally and recognise the need for such provision.</i> <i>The proposal will be too close to 60 yr old healthy trees which as a result will be at risk - Current arboriculture report raises serious concerns which need addressing. There is insufficient information about landscaping between the metal railing and fence and whether the developer will be able to adequately maintain the remaining green space. Continued below:</i>
20/01120/FUL		Land At, Netherstowe	Continued: <i>There are also concerns about the amount of drainage for surface water run off relating to the proposed development. The cycle path near to the proposed development can already retain water for days after rain as it forms a natural bowl near to the end of Handel Walk. The replacement of a grassed area with a large area of tarmac will reduce the natural drainage in the local area and will increase the frequency and severity of the path being blocked by retained rainwater. The mitigation proposed for the development will disturb the ecology of the area. Concerns also extend to drainage provision inside the site and whether this will prevent flooding/large poorly draining puddles forming inside the development.</i>